From: all_the_truth@ftml.net
To: gclee@mceermail.buffalc

To: gclee@mceermail.buffalo.edu, bruneau@mceermail.buffalo.edu,

...snip... exec@hera.org.nz, structural@hera.org.nz, jimq@umd.edu, ssas@hera.org.nz

Cc: R.Wheen@civil.usyd.edu.au ...snip...

B.Uy@unsw.edu.au

Subject: NIST's 2005 WTC Enquiry: LACK OF ANSWERS' MYSTERY DEEPENS!

NIST's 2005 WTC Enquiry: LACK OF ANSWERS' MYSTERY DEEPENS!

"AllTheTruth"'s reply to NIST's call for Public's input on WTC Inquiry:

- NIST Enquiry confirms AllTheTruth's Questions,

but deepens 9/11 Mystery by an astonishing lack of Answers!

=> Criminal Responsibilities for WTC Collapse, destruction of Evidence, and trapped Victims?

"All The Truth"'s NewsLetter

By: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

4 to 5 August 2005/-

Email at: all_the_truth@ftml.net. <u>www.freewebs.com/allthetruth</u> + (Currently under Attack by strange Hackers, who destroyed 5 Years of publications: 2001 - 2005, precisely this week of NIST's WTC Enquiry's Time Deadline! See infra.)

- A) ON THE SUBSTANCE OF NIST'S DRAFT REPORT
- B) On TIME DEADLINES and BRUTAL HARASSMENTS/OBSTRUCTIONS
 - (A) ON THE SUBSTANCE OF NIST's DRAFT REPORT

>>> NASA proves, these Hours, that ERRORS CAN and must BE RECTIFIED, Damages Repaired, gaps can be filed, even during a Space-Flight of only a few Days!

=> Why Not NIST, during a WTC Enquiry of many Years?

^{*} NIST can -and must - do like NASA: Rectify Errors before landing!

The Draft Reports of NIST's Enquiry on WTC confirm the crucial importance of certain critical Questions raised by "AllTheTruth".

But NIST's findings, and their limits, deepen, also, the Mystery of lack of Answers! Which becomes astonishing, even after Years of technical Enquiry, and millions of Federal Funds...

Various Converging Indications raise the question even of Criminal Responsibilities eventually involved.

All this highlights the regrettably Narrow limits inside which NIST's Technical Enquiry is closed, to the detriment of the vital need to find all the Truth on 9/11 Barbarities. (See :"AllTheTruth" :" NIST on WTC : 9/11 "Out of Scope" ? ", in : http://www.freewebs.com/allthetruth/nist911outofscope.htm).

To put it in a nutshell:

- NIST's detailed tests and arguments confirmed that neither Airplanes' Impact, NOT EVEN FIRES, aren't the cause of WTC's collapse: Buildings were able to withstand such strains.

But dislodging of Fireproof protection and/or other factors led to the drama.

- However, NIST appears unable to give a full and convincing explanation about what really caused that.

It leaves, also, without any convincing explanation, the crucial question why WTC's top, after leaning, at first, and "tilting" aside,

suddenly changed direction and, instead of toppling over, leaving the rest of the building intact,

on the contrary "changed" its movement "downwards", to a "vertical, total collapse", too similar to "controlled demolitions", (as AllTheTruth observed from the start).

+ Moreover, NIST indicates that Fireproof dislodging might, eventually, have "Malicious" causes.

It's also obvious that the scandalous "disappearance" and loss of WTC's Steel (vainly denounced since 2001 by Sally Regenhard and "AllTheTruth"), leaving less than 1%, stands behind many "lacks of Information" denounced by NIST, (including on Fireproofing, WTC Columns, Fire Alarms, etc.).

This is added to the confirmation that most People killed were trapped inside WTC by the absence of Helicopter Rescue and blocked doors towards Towers' Roof, as it had been decided by NYPD, which was, then, directed by ex-Mayor Giuliani's pal, Mr. Kerik.

His department was also involved in the strange, but critical dysfunction of Radio-transmitters, which hindered Rescuers a.o. from knowing on Time what was going on.

And in the Violent "clashes", imprisonment and threats against heroic NY Fire-Fighters and Victims' Families' access to WTC's Ground Zero: Suddenly blocked on October 2001, after "AllTheTruth" launched a call to search for clues on WTC's strange, vertical collapse, too similar to "controlled demolitions", by examining the debris.

Today, after Mr. Kerik's personal links even to Steel recycling' "Mafia" at New Jersey were revealed in 2004, the Question becomes inevitable:

- Both in his and others' cases: Are there any Criminal Responsibilities involved in WTC's strange, vertical and excessively deadly collapse?

In Particular:

- The most solid, well-documented NIST's finding is that Fires were not the real cause of WTC's collapse, nor Airplanes' impact
- "Fires did not cause Collapse" : WTC "Towers did not collapse under Aircraft Impact and Fires" alone, repeatedly stresses NIST.

This conclusion seems proved by a long series of Tests, Documentary evidence, Calculations, Logic Arguments, etc.

It confirms "AllTheTruth"'s criticism of ASCE's preliminary draft, back in 2002, which had pushed mainstream Media, like New York Times, AP, etc. to erroneously claim that it was simply the strength of the Fires which bended WTC's steel structure, causing its total destruction.

- According to NIST, it should be a "widely dislodged Fireproofing", and/or other "Structural damage", which caused WTC's collapse.

But NIST is unable to find enough proof on the real situation of

WTC's Fireproofing, or even of its Columns...

- Curiously, NIST claims that, despite the huge and controversial disappearance of WTC's Steel, nevertheless, the remaining pieces would provide "sufficient" evidence.

However, this is blatantly contradicted by the Fact that, as it acknowledges, only .. less than 1% of WTC's steel remains : Only 0,25 %!

Moreover, NIST denounces, elsewhere, that there is few or "No Information" available on WTC's exterior and mainly "core Columns", Floors' Trusses, etc., mainly on the crucial question of the level of Fireproofing and thermal Insulation damage, among many other Evidence which lacks: Left without Material proof, it is obliged to "guess" and make "assumptions" on WTC's Steel structure, only by ... "Photos and Videos"!

NIST also observes that WTC's "Fire Alarms", which, normally, could "collect Valuable Information" for "Fires in a Building", were "not used" during 9/11, and, even today, have "No Information" to provide.

Despite the importance of Water Sprinklers and other Fire protection systems which appeared damaged, NIST doesn't even raise any question on what might have happened, a few days before 9/11, during intrusions in WTC's Fire protection mechanisms by shady individuals reportedly involved in an ID-card Fraud and a Murder of witness in Memphis.

NIST notes, nevertheless, that a crucial dislodging of Fireproofing might have been done "Maliciously".

But, once again, it doesn't even ask to extend Enquiries to eventual Criminal interferences in WTC.

+ On the main point: WTC's Collapse, NIST observes that, at first, the Towers' "Upper Section" had started to "Tilt" "towards the Side", and "Rotate" at its Top Part, ready to fall aside and leave the greater part of the building standing.

But, afterwards, suddenly, something happened, and it "changed direction", after strange (and unexplained) "Dust puffs" and a "Dust Cloud" covered the scene: Instead, WTC's upper section, subsequently, "fell Downwards", "Vertically", followed by a "Total, Global Collapse" of all WTC in its entirety, from Top to the Basis.

=> Precisely, this is the most Important Fact on which "AllTheTruth"s critical questions on WTC have focused since 2001

It seems natural that the smaller, Top part, hit by Airplanes, would have fallen aside, leaving the longer part of WTC intact, to remain standing, and save many Human Lives.

But, on the contrary, here, an exceptional, never seen before, Vertical and Total Collapse occurred, for unknown reasons, concentrating all WTC's debris at its feet, almost as it occurs in the images of "Controlled Demolitions" (See "AllTheTruth"'s abundant publications and Documentation on that point).

Astonishingly, NIST has NOTHING serious to say in order to explain this paradox :

In 10.000 pages, it adds only one (1!) short sentence, claiming (WITHOUT ANY PROOF) that "the fall of the (smaller) upper Section above the impact", "exceeded the energy which could be withstand by WTC's Structure in its (much larger) remaining portion". Thus, it's simply .. "Gravity" which would have provoked WTC's "Global Collapse"... Without any Fact, Nor any Argument, or other Proof, at all: Only an unbelievably Short and Empty Claim!

Despite the fact that this controversial claim on WTC's collapse has been largely discussed, analyzed and refuted earlier, including by our own counter-arguments,

nevertheless, NIST behaves as if it hadn't" ever heard anything, and/or didn't have anything to reply ...

=> It says NOTHING at all on the Controversy. Not even a word!

WTC's "vertical", total collapse, too similar to "controlled demolitions", and, plus particularly, the hollow claim about .. "Gravity", has been strongly criticized and refuted, with many arguments and facts, already Years ago :

F. ex. in "AllTheTruth"'s March 2002 Publication, titled: - "NEWTON DID IT, NOT ME!", about Dr Astaneh's claim and "US Congress 1st Hearing on 9/11-WTC" (See:

http://www.freewebz.com/allthetruth/newtondiditnotmeafterusc.htm).

Even if 911 Victims' Families and Friends, Experts, Officials, NGOs, involved Citizens, etc., (even ... U.S. Congress!) have already largely heard and debated about this Controversy about WTC's "vertical, total collapse" and the pseudo - "Gravity" claim,

nevertheless, NIST, after 3 Years of "Enquiry", astonishingly, makes as if it didn't know nothing about all that...

Despite admitting "substantial Uncertainties" on WTC's "Probable"

collapse "sequence", which is condemned to remain highly hypothetical, and not convincing at all...

- + Another Important point, concerns WTC's Emergency EVACUATION operations :
- NIST's Data confirm that greatest part among the People who were killed, had been "trapped" in WTC's upper floors, over Aircraft's impact.
- => Most of them died because there was no possibility for Helicopter Rescue from the Top Floor, NIST observes.

This was "one of the few Options available" to those People, NIST rightfully notes.

Moreover, "1968 NYC Code required Access to Roofs", Reports denounce.

- But, Roof Helicopter Evacuation was rejected by NYPD on 9/11, (directed then by ex-Mayor Giuliani's pal, Mr. Kerik), and People were shut off WTC's Top, since Doors had been "Blocked" by a so-called (in)"Security" service, which "trapped" them until an atrocious death...

The Deadly Scandal appears even bigger, since NIST notes that WTC inhabitants had NOT even been WARNED that Doors towards the Top had been "blocked", so that 9/11 Victims would tragically try to go upstairs, provoking even more atrocious deaths..

However, when it comes, precisely, to the Controversy about whether Helicopter Rescue from the Top, could and should, or not, have been carried out, things become surprisingly Nasty:

- NIST simply observes that NYPD (i.e. Mr. Kerik) had "refused" Helicopter Evacuation, and that NY-New Jersey Port Authority had "blocked Doors", without even warning People..

On this crucial, Life or Death, point, NIST only notes that, according to NYPD, an Helicopter had tried to land on WTC's roof at the beginning of Aircraft's Fires, but abandoned any other attempt after facing Heat and Smoke, because of a blatant Refusal and Interdiction ordered by NYPD (that is to say Mr. Kerik, then).

"Helicopter Rescue" from WTC's Top Floors "was NEVER CONSIDERED" by NYPD and NYNJPA, NIST reveals.

- WHY? - Because someone found "too much Smoke to land", at an "early stage", at the beginning of Aircraft's initial Fire-balls, and because, "only a few" might have been rescued, in such conditions, NIST claims.

But it says Nothing about the period AFTER Aircraft's initial Fires had diminished and even ceased, which was much longer...

And, NIST never explains why "only a few" might have been rescued from the Top of the flat-roofed WTC Towers, and not many: Astonishingly, NIST limits itself only into a 1 sentence Claim, without Proofs, nor arguments, Not any Debate, nothing to convince...

Moreover, Helicopter Rescue from the Building's Top WINDOWS, technically possible, is NOT EVEN CITED, while People were notoriously abandoned and obliged to jump out of WTC's Windows to atrocious Deaths!

At least Technicians might have been able to penetrate at WTC's Roof and repair the Building's Water Supply system for Fire-Sprinklers, which had been reportedly dislodged after Aircrafts' impacts, according to NIST.

But any kind of use of WTC's Flat roofed Tops by Helicopters, had been scandalously "EXCLUDED by NYPD", (that is to say ex-NY Mayor Giuliani's partner, Mr. Kerik).

=> Given the crucial Importance of the matter (most Deaths resulting from People "trapped" by the blockade of WTC's top), NIST should, at least, Seriously Examine all the existing Possibilities, and make a full Enquiry on whether Helicopter Rescue could and should have been used to save Human Lives.

On the contrary, NIST's Drafts astonishingly are Empty on this important point, limited only into copying a NYPD's Controversial claim, and a superficial sentence, without any real proof....

=> NIST Enquiry's Scope was already too narrow :

Nothing about Airplanes' "Hijacking"; Nor about Political and other stakes for choosing 11 September's Date, among 365 possible per Year; Nothing on WTC's New York context: Old WTC buildings, out-passed by New Skyscrapers prepared f.ex. at Times Square, where ex-NY Mayor Giuliani chose for his office; NY-NJ Port Authority's wish to build a New, Bigger "Transport Hub" at WTC's area (as it was proved true these Days); etc.

=> It should not become even more restricted, this time by what appears to be at least, among some of NIST's technicians, an astonishing narrow-mindness (See above) ...

People should not be flooded by 10.000 pages of Technical Data, only to find out that, after Years of an Enquiry using millions of Federal Funds, many main, crucial Questions of substance have been hastily and superficially abandoned without any real, serious reply..

NIST should raise and pursue all important issues: Including Criminal and other Responsibilities of some for Hiding or Destroying Material Evidence (f.ex. WTC's Steel, Fire Alarms, etc).

And it's not possible to stress the crucial importance of "dislodged Fireproofing", while remaining, at the same time, Indifferent on Facts indicating that sly Individuals, involved in ID cards Fraud and Murder of eye-Witness, had access to WTC's core, a few days before 9/11, including on Sprinklers, etc.

=> Some well-placed and efficient Enquiries could become "Ariadne's threads" towards unmasking those who are really responsible for 9/11 deadly Barbary...

- (B) On TIME DEADLINES and BRUTAL HARASSMENTS/OBSTRUCTIONS:

[Brutalities and Harassment delay AllTheTruth's reply => NIST's WTC Enquiry kept in the Dark?

=> No Bureaucratic Pretexts to Obstruct Critical Observations on so Serious issues as 9/11!

Surprisingly, AllTheTruth was brutally hindered to present its observations according to NIST's invitation, until 4 August 5.pm. Deadline, and was obliged to do so shortly afterwards.

Some of its main, leading and long-standing members suddenly faced scandalous and unprecedented Brutal or sly Obstruction and/or Harassment, delaying and canceling crucial meetings scheduled to prepare "AllTheTruth"'s reply to NIST's call during the previous week-end.

Even "All The Truth"'s long-standing Website (2001 - 2005) was suddenly aggressed, without any warning, and its Historic content (full of useful Data for NIST's WTC Enquiry was destroyed these days...

Thus, the only possibility was to present, the week-end of NIST's Deadline, at least a brief, incomplete, but substantial critical Note on AllTheTruth's main observations.

AllTheTruth's members were First to call for an Investigation on WTC strange, vertical collapse, (too similar to "controlled demolitions"), and struggled since October 2001, together with Victims' Families and Friends, (mainly Sally Regenhard, NY Firefighters, Experts, and others), to push until the need for a serious Enquiry was acknowledged by U.S. Authorities. This struggle, accompanied by WTC-focused critical observations of rare depth

continued for Many Years, 2004 included.

=> Therefore, No Formal, Bureaucratic Pretexts could be convincing as a hollow attempt to Exclude and Ignore "AllTheTruth"s Critical Observations, just for a few Hours/Day, in such a crucial Enquiry spreading along Many YEARS:

Considering also the Importance of the Human, Social and Political Issues at stake, this would be tantamount to excessive formalism and Scandalous Abuse. Everybody would assume its Responsibilities in front of People and History.

A fortiori, when those who brutally and/or slyly obstructed and harassed AllTheTruth's members, in an obvious attempt to destroy Time just at the eve of NIST's deadline,

are astonishingly close (geographically and socio-politically) to certain Circles which have been, since long, suspected and denounced by "AllTheTruth" as probably involved in a trans-national sly Criminal Network which may hide behind 9/11 Barbarities.

=> Who would dare become Accomplice of Brutal and/or sly Aggressors, only in order to skip Critical Observations from People who asked and struggled among the first, since 2001, for a WTC Enquiry?

NIST's WTC Enquiry should be kept "Clean", crystal-clear, and beyond any suspicion, without being Stained by Negative Incidents.

As NIST's new Director, Hratch Semerjian, stressed: -"We need to hear from the public": Until then, "Our job is not done".
"We will finalize our reports..after receiving public comments.".

(NDLR: This has Nothing to do with U.S. President GWBush, contrary to what some Manipulative Provocations claimed elsewhere: On the contrary, some of "AllTheTruth"s long-standing members, after careful analysis, have actively supported his reElection in 2004 for a fresh, New mandate.

Moreover, AllTheTruth has published the view of most of its members that 911 and a series of other incidents may form a series of attempts to push U.S. President GWBush into a "sly trap", in order to exploit it politically afterwards, for reasons extending to issues of paramount importance for all Humankind).]

(2) COMMENTS in NIST's Format

(Preliminary, Incomplete, hasty observations to NIST Draft, given the absence of Time.

NB => See ATTACHED LETTER for Urgent, recent Events, overall view and more concrete details).
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number:
- Paragraph /Sentence :
- Comment:
- Reason for Comment:
- Suggestion for Revision:
=======================================
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number: xxxiv
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 1: "Public Outreach During the course of this Investigation, NIST held public briefings and meetingsto solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments on the direction and progress of the Investigation from the public"
- Comment: More Input from the Public is needed, until NIST's Enquiry fullfils its mission.
- Reason for Comment: WTC Investigation is far from completed yet (See infra).
- Suggestion for Revision: ADD: " NIST held [+ AND WILL HOLD] public briefings and meetingsto solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments;" ================================
=======================================

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

Printed for wtc@nist.gov

- Page Number: xli
- Paragraph 1/Sentence 1: "E.1 GENESIS OF THIS INVESTIGATION On August 21, 2002, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) announced its building and fire safety investigation of the World Trade Center (WTC) disaster."

- Comment:

It ommits the True "Genesis" of WTC's Investigation: - WTC Investigation's "Genesis" stems from pressing demands of 9/11Victims' Families and Friends, as well as concerned Citizens and Experts, who strived since October 2001 up to August 2002 to ask for an Independent, Transparent, Efficient and Full Investigation on WTC collapse and those really responsible.

Sally Regenhard (since December 2001) and "All The Truth"'s members and Friends (since October 2001), as well as NY Firefighters, etc. (since November 2001), spearheaded the Popular demand for an WTC Investigation from the start.

- Reason for Comment: (See Supra).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + AFTER ALLMOST A YEAR OF PRESSING DEMANDS FROM 9/11 VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, ..."on August 21, 2002, ..(../..)"

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xlii
- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1 :

"The scarcity of physical evidence that is typically available in place for reconstruction of a disaster

- Comment:

It ommits to mention the important Fact that a strong Controversy opposed Victims' Families and Friends to ex-NYC Mayor Mr. Giuliani because of his decision to recycle almost all WTC's Steel, removing and destroying most of the available "Physical Evidence".

- Reason for Comment: (See supra).

- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + THE CONTROVERSIAL REMOVAL OF ALMOST ALL OF WTC's STEEL, DESPITE PROTESTS FROM VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, PROVOKED A .. scarcity of physical evidence"

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliii
- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:
- "The output ... was subject to uncertainties in the as-built condition of the towers, the interior layout and furnishings, the aircraft impact, the internal damage to the towers (especially the thermal insulation for fire protection of the structural steel, which is colloquially referred to as fireproofing), the redistribution of the combustibles, and the response of the building structural components to the heat from the fires. ..."

- Comment:

Ommits to mention the main Cause of those "Uncertainties".

- Reason for Comment:

It is important not to hide the Truth that the controversial Destruction of most Material Evidence (WTC Steel and debris: See supra) is one of the most grave obstacles to NIST's Investigation, causing many "Uncertainties".

- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + BECAUSE OF THE EARLY DESTRUCTION OF MATERIAL EVIDENCE (MAINLY WTC's STEEL), "the output .. was subject to Uncertainties ..."

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliii
- Paragraph 6/Sentence 1:
- "The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: core columns, floors, and perimeter columns."
- Comment:

Inaccurate.

- Reason for Comment:

NIST admits, elsewhere, that for the situation of most Columns which were not directly hit by Debris (90 % of WTC's structure!) there is "No

Information"...

- Suggestion for Revision:

+ INSERT: + "The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: THOSE core columns, floors, and perimeter columns WHICH WERE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY DEBRIS".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliii

- Paragraph 7/Sentences 1 + 2:

"In WTC 1, the fires weakened the core columns and caused the floors on the south side of the building to sag.

.. The top section of the building tilted to the south and began its descent."

- Comment:

Grossly Inaccurate (See also infra).

- Reason for Comment:
- (a) Proof for "weakening" by the "fires" was found by NIST only on Columns hit by Debris, while it had "No Information" on any other Columns (90% of WTC's building remaining standing, beneath).
- (b) The "Top .. tilted" aside, at first.

 But something happened, afterwards, and it "changed" its direction and appearance, replacing it wifh a "Vertical, Total Collapse" of all WTC, (instead of toppling over and falling to one side.(See + infra)

There are 2 different, and opposite movements, and not only one.

- => Simply claim that it was .. "Gravity", which destroyed 90% of WTC "robust" buildings, which remained intact, is obviously not enough, nor convincing particularly after a long Controversy in many and various Published texts and Debates 2001 2004. (See attached Letter for more concrete Details).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + INSERT : "The top section of the building INITIALLY tilted to the south.

BUT AFTERWARDS, INSTEAD OF FALLING ASIDE, AND LEAVING THE REST OF THE BUILDING INTACT,

ON THE CONTRARY, IT SUDDENLY began TO descent, AND DISINTEGRATE VERTICALLY, TOGETHER WITH ALL THE REST OF WTC BUILDING"

+ "NO CLEAR AND UNQUESTIONABLE PROOF WAS YET FOUND FOR THE REAL CAUSE OF THAT RADICAL AND CRUCIAL "CHANGE"

=> A SERIOUS AND THOROUGH INVESTIGATION IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS, DISSIPATE ANY INCOMPREHENSIBLE PHENOMENON (f.ex. "Dust Clouds" cited by NIST) AND ANY CONTROVERSY ON WHAT PROVOCED WTC's VERTICAL, TOTAL COLLAPSE".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:

"The WTC towers likely would not have collapsed under the combined effects of aircraft impact damage and the extensive, multifloor fires if the thermal insulation had not been widely dislodged or had been only minimally dislodged by aircraft impact."

- Comment:

Interesting NIST Finding, but its Cause remains largely Unknown : => A full Investigation is required. (See attached Letter).

- Reason for Comment:

For such a Crucial Fact, its Cause must be clearly established.

- Suggestion for Revision:

+ ADDE, IN FINE: "....+ AN INVESTIGATION ON WHAT CAUSED SUCH A WIDE DISLODGING OF THERMAL INSULATION MUST BE SERIOUSLY AND THOROUGHLY CONDUCTED UNTIL ALL MAIN FACTS ARE KNOWN".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv

- Paragraph 1 /Sentence 5: "• In WTC 2, ...The top section of the building tilted to the east and to the south and began its descent."
- Comment: (Comp. above).
- Reason for Comment: (Comp. above).
- Suggestion for Revision: (Comp. above).

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 2:
- "... In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors .."
- + ADDE NEW

- Comment:

Astonishingly, nothing is said about more than a Thousand People notoriously "trapped" at the upper floors by the Controversial Blocked Doors and mainly the absence of Helicopter Rescue, (despite the access requested even by NYC 1968 Code, but refused by NYPD - Mr. Kerik).

This is a very grave Ommission, risking to compromise NIST's objectivity in the eyes of the Public.

When even the minor issue of a few "disabled" people takes a whole paragraph, there is no excuse to totally ommit the long-standing Controversy on Helicopter Rescue at Flat-roofed high-rise buildings, which affected more than a Thousand People at WTC...

- Reason for Comment:

NB: See attached Letter for many concrete details.

- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + "In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors ... BY DOORS WHICH HAD BEEN BLOCKED WITHOUT WARNING, AND BY A CONTROVERSIAL ABSENCE OF ANY HELICOPTER RESCUE FROM THE TOP AND/OR FROM
- (+ Skip the MISLEADING sentence "where the aircraft

THE WINDOWS".

destroyed all escape routes": It was NYPD's chief who refused any Helicopter Rescue and had blocked the Doors towards WTC's Top.

NIST's Reports don't have any serious Evidence, nor solid, unquestionable Proof, that Helicopter Rescue would be really "impossible", as he claimed. (See attached Letter)

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xlvii

- Paragraph 9 /Sentence 1:

"• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ..."

- Comment:

Helicopter Rescue technics, from the Top Floors and Upper Windows, must be studied and developed for High-Rise buildings and Skyscrapers.

- Reason for Comment:

WTC proved that Thousands of Human Lives at High Rise Buildings often depend on the existence or absence of Helicopter Rescue technics.

- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE, IN FINE: ""• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ...INCLUDING POSSIBILITIES FOR ANY HELLICOPTER RESCUE FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS AND SKYSCRAPERS... "

NB:

- These observations, naturally should be repeated whenever NIST's Draft repeats similar issues (there are many such repetitions).
- + Many other observations = Ommitted, because of Time restraints.

Email at : all_the_truth@ftml.net. www.freewebs.com/allthetruth +

(Currently under Attack by strange Hackers, who destroyed 5 Years of publications: 2001 - 2005, precisely this week of NIST's WTC Enquiry!

Frequently updated, according to main developments and investigations' needs and results.

Investigative Website dedicated to help all those who search to find the whole truth on 9/11 Barbarities and other outstanding crises around the World.

Founded by a group of people who initiated Public Debates on 9/11 (and NY FF's) concluding (since end October 2001) into an "Urgent call for an independent, pluralist, transparent and full Enquiry (starting by WTC's strange, deadly implosions) before Material and Witnesses' Evidence is destroyed".

From the beginning and for Years, "AllTheTruth" has been striving (in a desinterested, benevolent, active and serious way, facing various aggressions and sacrificing even health of some of its members) to help unveil all the truth and shred full light on all 9/11Barbarities, despite surprising strange obstacles.

Supports from the start (mid-December 2001) Sally Regenhard's Call for an "Independent Blue Ribbon Panel Enquiry on Why (and How) WTC collapsed", as well as (27 Feb. 2002) the call of "Families of September 11" to "extend" USC-checked "independent Investigation" on the way 4 Airplanes were led and let to be hijacked and crashed.]

http://www.fastmail.fm - Access all of your messages and folders wherever you are

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment Page 1 of 10
X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2 From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net> To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1 Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:34:48 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com) X-MailScanner: X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net</all_the_truth@ftml.net>
Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.
Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005) Email Address: all_the_truth@ftml.net Phone: Report Number: NCSTAR 1 Page Number: (See infra) Paragraph: (See infra) Comment: (See infra) Comment Reason: (See infra)
Revision Suggestion: (Preliminary, Incomplete, hasty observations to NIST Draft, given the absence of Time.
NB => See ATTACHED LETTER for Urgent, recent Events, overall view and more concrete details).
=======================================
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number:
- Paragraph /Sentence :
- Comment:
- Reason for Comment:
- Suggestion for Revision:

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 2 of 10

- Page Number: xxxiv
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 1: "Public Outreach During the course of this Investigation, NIST held public briefings and meetings ...to solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments on the direction and progress of the Investigation from the public ..."
- Comment: More Input from the Public is needed, until NIST's Enquiry fullfils its mission.
- Reason for Comment: WTC Investigation is far from completed yet (See infra).
- Suggestion for Revision: ADD: "... NIST held [+ AND WILL HOLD] public briefings and meetings ...to solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments ..;"

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xli
- Paragraph 1/Sentence 1: "E.1 GENESIS OF THIS INVESTIGATION On August 21, 2002, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) announced its building and fire safety investigation of the World Trade Center (WTC) disaster."

- Comment:

It ommits the True "Genesis" of WTC's Investigation: - WTC Investigation's "Genesis" stems from pressing demands of 9/11Victims' Families and Friends, as well as concerned Citizens and Experts, who strived since October 2001 up to August 2002 to ask for an Independent, Transparent, Efficient and Full Investigation on WTC collapse and those really responsible.

Sally Regenhard (since December 2001) and "All The Truth"'s members and Friends (since October 2001), as well as NY Firefighters, etc. (since November 2001), spearheaded the Popular demand for an WTC Investigation from the start.

- Reason for Comment: (See Supra).
- Suggestion for Revision:

- Comment:

It ommits to mention the important Fact that a strong Controversy opposed Victims' Families and Friends to ex-NYC Mayor Mr. Giuliani because of his decision to recycle almost all WTC's Steel, removing and destroying most of the available "Physical Evidence".

- Reason for Comment: (See supra).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + THE CONTROVERSIAL REMOVAL OF ALMOST ALL OF WTC's STEEL, DESPITE PROTESTS FROM VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, PROVOKED A .. scarcity of physical evidence"

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number:
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 1:

"The output ... was subject to uncertainties in the as-built condition of the towers, the interior layout and furnishings, the aircraft impact, the internal damage to the towers (especially the thermal insulation for fire protection of the structural steel, which is colloquially referred to as fireproofing), the redistribution of the combustibles, and the response of the building structural components to the heat from the fires. ..."

- Comment:

Ommits to mention the main Cause of those "Uncertainties".

- Reason for Comment: It is important not to hide the Truth that the controversial Destruction of most Material Evidence (WTC Steel and debris: See supra) is one of Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 4 of 10 the most grave obstacles to NIST's Investigation, causing many "Uncertainties". Suggestion for Revision: + ADDE: + BECAUSE OF THE EARLY DESTRUCTION OF MATERIAL EVIDENCE (MAINLY WYC's STEEL), "the output .. was subject to Uncertainties ..." _____ NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft) - Page Number: xliii Paragraph 6/Sentence 1 : "The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: core columns, floors, and perimeter columns." Comment: Inaccurate. - Reason for Comment: NIST admits, elsewhere, that for the situation of most Columns which were not directly hit by Debris (90 % of WTC's structure!) there is "No Information"... - Suggestion for Revision: + INSERT : + "The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: THOSE core columns, floors, and perimeter columns WHICH WERE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY DEBRIS". NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft) - Page Number: xliii - Paragraph 7/Sentences 1 + 2: "In WTC 1, the fires weakened the core columns and caused the floors on

the south side of the building to sag.

.. The top section of the building tilted to the south and began its descent."

- Comment:

Grossly Inaccurate (See also infra).

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 5 of 10

- Reason for Comment:
- (a) Proof for "weakening" by the "fires" was found by NIST only on Columns hit by Debris, while it had "No Information" on any other Columns (90% of WTC's building remaining standing, beneath).
- (b) The "Top .. tilted" aside, at first. But something happened, afterwards, and it "changed" its direction and appearance, replacing it wifh a "Vertical, Total Collapse" of all WTC, (instead of toppling over and falling to one side.(See + infra)

There are 2 different, and opposite movements, and not only one.

- => Simply claim that it was .. "Gravity", which destroyed 90% of WTC "robust" buildings, which remained intact, is obviously not enough, nor convincing particularly after a long Controversy in many and various Published texts and Debates 2001 2004. (See attached Letter for more concrete Details).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + INSERT : "The top section of the building INITIALLY tilted to the south.

BUT AFTERWARDS, INSTEAD OF FALLING ASIDE, AND LEAVING THE REST OF THE BUILDING INTACT,

ON THE CONTRARY, IT SUDDENLY began TO descent, AND DISINTEGRATE VERTICALLY, TOGETHER WITH ALL THE REST OF WTC BUILDING"

+ "NO CLEAR AND UNQUESTIONABLE PROOF WAS YET FOUND FOR THE REAL CAUSE OF THAT RADICAL AND CRUCIAL "CHANGE"

=> A SERIOUS AND THOROUGH INVESTIGATION IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS, DISSIPATE ANY INCOMPREHENSIBLE PHENOMENON (f.ex. "Dust Clouds" cited by NIST) AND ANY CONTROVERSY ON WHAT PROVOCED WTC's VERTICAL, TOTAL COLLAPSE".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:
- "The WTC towers likely would not have collapsed under the combined effects of aircraft impact damage and the extensive, multifloor fires if the thermal insulation had not been widely dislodged or had been only minimally dislodged by aircraft impact."

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment Page 6 of 10
- Comment: Interesting NIST Finding, but its Cause remains largely Unknown: => A full Investigation is required. (See attached Letter).
- Reason for Comment: For such a Crucial Fact, its Cause must be clearly established.
- Suggestion for Revision: + ADDE, IN FINE: "+ AN INVESTIGATION ON WHAT CAUSED SUCH A WIDE DISLODGING OF THERMAL INSULATION MUST BE SERIOUSLY AND THOROUGHLY CONDUCTED UNTIL ALL FACTS ARE KNOWN".
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph /Sentence: "• In WTC 2,The top section of the building tilted to the east and to the south and began its descent."
- Comment: (Comp. above).
- Reason for Comment: (Comp. above).
- Suggestion for Revision: (Comp. above).
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 2: " In WTC 1,1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors"
+ ADDE NEW
- Comment: Astonishingly, nothing is said about more than a Thousand People

Printed for wtc@nist.gov

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 7 of 10

notoriously "trapped" at the upper floors by the Controversial Blocked Doors and mainly the absence of Helicopter Rescue, (despite the access requested even by NYC 1968 Code, but refused by NYPD - Mr. Kerik).

This is a very grave Ommission, risking to compromise NIST's objectivity in the eyes of the Public.

When even the minor issue of a few "disabled" people takes a whole paragraph, there is no excuse to totally ommit the long-standing Controversy on Helicopter Rescue at Flat-roofed high-rise buildings, which affected more than a Thousand People at WTC...

- Reason for Comment: NB : See attached Letter for many concrete details.
- Suggestion for Revision: + ADDE: + "In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors
- .. BY DOORS WHICH HAD BEEN BLOCKED WITHOUT WARNING, AND BY A CONTROVERSIAL ABSENCE OF ANY HELICOPTER RESCUE FROM THE TOP AND/OR FROM THE WINDOWS".
- (+ Skip the MISLEADING sentence "where the aircraft destroyed all escape routes": It was NYPD's chief who refused any Helicopter Rescue and had blocked the Doors towards WTC's Top.

NIST's Reports don't have any serious Evidence, nor solid, unquestionable Proof, that Helicopter Rescue would be really "impossible", as he claimed. (See attached Letter)

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xlvii

- Paragraph /Sentence:

"• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ..."

- Comment:

Helicopter Rescue technics, from the Top Floors and Upper Windows, must be studied and developped for High-Rise buildings and Skyscrapers.

- Reason for Comment: WTC proved that Thousands of Human Lives at High Rise Buildings often depend on the existence or absence of Helicopter Rescue technics. Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 8 of 10

- Suggestion for Revision: + ADDE, IN FINE: ""• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ...INCLUDING POSSIBILITIES FOR ANY HELLICOPTER RESCUE FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS AND SKYSCRAPERS..."

- These observations, naturally should be repeated whenever NIST's Draft repeats similar issues (there are many such repetitions).
- + Many other observations = Ommitted, because of Time restraints.

(2)

"All The Truth"s open LETTER: attached:

- A) On TIME DEADLINES and BRUTAL HARASSMENTS/OBSTRUCTIONS
- B) ON THE SUBSTANCE OF NIST'S DRAFT REPORT
 - (A) On TIME DEADLINES and BRUTAL HARASSMENTS/OBSTRUCTIONS :

[Brutalities and Harassment delay AllTheTruth's reply => NIST's WTC Enquiry kept in the Dark?

=> No Bureaucratic Pretexts to Obstruct Critical Observations on so Serious issues as 9/11!

Surprisingly, AllTheTruth was brutally hindered to present its observations according to NIST's invitation, until 4 August 5.pm. Deadline, and was obliged to do so shortly afterwards.

Some of its main, leading and long-standing members suddenly faced scandalous and unprecedented Brutal or sly Obstruction and/or Harassment, delaying and canceling crucial meetings scheduled to prepare "AllTheTruth"'s reply to NIST's call during the previous week-end.

Even "All The Truth"'s long-standing Website (2001 - 2005) was suddenly aggressed, without any warning, and its Historic content (full of useful Data for NIST's WTC Enquiry was destroyed these days...

Thus, the only possibility was to present, the week-end of NIST's Deadline, at least a brief, incomplete, but substantial critical Note on AllTheTruth's

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 9 of 10 main observations.

AllTheTruth's members were First to call for an Investigation on WTC strange, vertical collapse, (too similar to "controlled demolitions"), and struggled since October 2001, together with Victims' Families and Friends, (mainly Sally Regenhard, NY Firefighters, Experts, and others), to push until the need for a serious Enquiry was acknowledged by U.S. Authorities. This struggle, accompanied by WTC-focused critical observations of rare depth continued for Many Years, 2004 included.

=> Therefore, No Formal, Bureaucratic Pretexts could be convincing as a hollow attempt to Exclude and Ignore "AllTheTruth"s Critical Observations, just for a few Hours/Day, in such a crucial Enquiry spreading along Many YEARS:

Considering also the Importance of the Human, Social and Political Issues at stake, this would be tantamount to excessive formalism and Scandalous Abuse. Everybody would assume its Responsibilities in front of People and History.

A fortiori, when those who brutally and/or slyly obstructed and harassed AllTheTruth's members, in an obvious attempt to destroy Time just at the eve of NIST's deadline.

are astonishingly close (geographically and socio-politically) to certain Circles which have been, since long, suspected and denounced by "AllTheTruth" as probably involved in a trans-national sly Criminal Network which may hide behind 9/11 Barbarities.

=> Who would dare become Accomplice of Brutal and/or sly Aggressors, only in order to skip Critical Observations from People who asked and struggled among the first, since 2001, for a WTC Enquiry?

NIST's WTC Enquiry should be kept "Clean", crystal-clear, and beyond any suspicion,

without being Stained by Negative Incidents.

As NIST's new Director, Hratch Semerjian, stressed: -"We need to hear from the public": Until then, "Our job is not done".

"We will finalize our reports .. after receiving public comments.".

(NDLR: This has Nothing to do with U.S. President GWBush, contrary to what some Manipulative Provocations claimed elsewhere: On the contrary, some of "AllTheTruth"s long-standing members, after careful analysis, have actively supported his reElection in 2004 for a fresh, New mandate.

Moreover, AllTheTruth has published the view of most of its members that 911 and a series of other incidents may form a series of attempts to push U.S. President GWBush into a "sly trap", in order to exploit it politically afterwards, for reasons extending to issues of paramount importance for all Humankind).]

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:34 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comme... Page 10 of 10

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:25 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 1

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:25:40 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number: xlvii

Paragraph: - Paragraph 9 / Sentence 1:

"• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved

to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ..."

Comment: Helicopter Rescue technics, from the Top Floors and Upper Windows, must be studied and developed for High-Rise buildings and Skyscrapers.

Comment Reason: WTC proved that Thousands of Human Lives at High Rise Buildings often depend on the existence or absence of Helicopter Rescue technics.

Revision Suggestion: + ADDE, IN FINE: ""• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ...INCLUDING POSSIBILITIES FOR ANY HELLICOPTER RESCUE FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS AND SKYSCRAPERS..."

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:22 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 2

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all the truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:22:46 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number: xliv

Paragraph: - Paragraph 2/Sentence 2:

"... In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors .."

Comment: Astonishingly, nothing is said about more than a Thousand People notoriously "trapped" at the upper floors by the Controversial Blocked Doors and mainly the absence of Helicopter Rescue, (despite the access requested even by NYC 1968 Code, but refused by NYPD - Mr. Kerik).

This is a very grave Ommission, risking to compromise NIST's objectivity in the eyes of the Public.

When even the minor issue of a few "disabled" people takes a whole paragraph, there is no excuse to totally ommit the long-standing Controversy on Helicopter Rescue at Flat-roofed high-rise buildings, which affected more than a Thousand People at WTC...

Comment Reason: NB: See attached Letter for many concrete details.

Revision Suggestion: + ADDE: + "In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors

.. BY DOORS WHICH HAD BEEN BLOCKED WITHOUT WARNING, AND BY A CONTROVERSIAL

ABSENCE OF ANY HELICOPTER RESCUE FROM THE TOP AND/OR FROM THE WINDOWS".

(+ Skip the MISLEADING sentence "where the aircraft destroyed all escape routes": It was NYPD's chief who refused any Helicopter Rescue and had blocked the Doors towards WTC's Top.

NIST's Reports don't have any serious Evidence, nor solid, unquestionable

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:22 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 2 of 2 Proof, that Helicopter Rescue would be really "impossible", as he claimed. (See attached Letter)

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:19 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 2

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:19:53 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number: xliv

Paragraph: - Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:

- Paragraph 1 /Sentence 5 :

"• In WTC 2, ... The top section of the building tilted to the east and to the south and began its descent."

Comment: Grossly Inaccurate (See also supra).

Comment Reason: The "Top... tilted" aside, at first.

But something happened, afterwards, and it "changed" its direction and appearance, replacing it wifh a "Vertical, Total Collapse" of all WTC, (instead of toppling over and falling to one side.(See + infra)

There are 2 different, and opposite movements, and not only one.

=> Simply claim that it was .. "Gravity", which destroyed 90% of WTC "robust" buildings, which remained intact, is obviously not enough, nor convincing - particularly after a long Controversy in many and various Published texts and Debates 2001 - 2004. (See attached Letter for more concrete Details).

Revision Suggestion: + INSERT: - "The top section of the building INITIALLY tilted to the south.

BUT AFTERWARDS, INSTEAD OF FALLING ASIDE, AND LEAVING THE REST OF THE BUILDING INTACT,

ON THE CONTRARY, IT SUDDENLY began TO descent, AND DISINTEGRATE

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:19 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 2 of 2 VERTICALLY, TOGETHER WITH ALL THE REST OF WTC BUILDING"

- + "NO CLEAR AND UNQUESTIONABLE PROOF WAS YET FOUND FOR THE REAL CAUSE OF THAT RADICAL AND CRUCIAL "CHANGE"
- => A SERIOUS AND THOROUGH INVESTIGATION IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS, DISSIPATE ANY INCOMPREHENSIBLE PHENOMENON (f.ex. "Dust Clouds" cited by NIST) AND ANY CONTROVERSY ON WHAT PROVOCED WTC's VERTICAL, TOTAL COLLAPSE".

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:15 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 1

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:15:43 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number: xliv

Paragraph: Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:

"The WTC towers likely would not have collapsed under the combined effects of aircraft impact damage and the extensive, multifloor fires if the thermal insulation had not been widely dislodged or had been only minimally dislodged by aircraft impact."

Comment: Interesting NIST Finding, but its Cause remains largely Unknown: => A full Investigation is required. (See attached Letter).

Comment Reason: For such a Crucial Fact, its Cause must be clearly established.

Revision Suggestion: + ADDE, IN FINE: "....+ AN INVESTIGATION ON WHAT CAUSED SUCH A WIDE DISLODGING OF THERMAL INSULATION MUST BE SERIOUSLY AND THOROUGHLY CONDUCTED UNTIL ALL MAIN FACTS ARE KNOWN".

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:13:28 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number : xliii

Paragraph: - Paragraph 7/Sentences 1 + 2:

"In WTC 1, the fires weakened the core columns and caused the floors on the

south side of the building to sag.

.. The top section of the building tilted to the south and began its descent."

Comment: Grossly Inaccurate (See also infra).

Comment Reason: (a) Proof for "weakening" by the "fires" was found by NIST only on Columns hit by Debris, while it had "No Information" on any other Columns (90% of WTC's building remaining standing, beneath).

(b) The "Top .. tilted" aside, at first.

But something happened, afterwards, and it "changed" its direction and appearance, replacing it wifh a "Vertical, Total Collapse" of all WTC, (instead of toppling over and falling to one side.(See + infra)

There are 2 different, and opposite movements, and not only one.

=> Simply claim that it was .. "Gravity", which destroyed 90% of WTC "robust" buildings, which remained intact, is obviously not enough, nor convincing - particularly after a long Controversy in many and various Published texts and Debates 2001 - 2004. (See attached Letter for more concrete Details).

Revision Suggestion: + INSERT: - "The top section of the building INITIALLY tilted to the south.

BUT AFTERWARDS, INSTEAD OF FALLING ASIDE, AND LEAVING THE REST OF THE BUILDING INTACT.

ON THE CONTRARY, IT SUDDENLY began TO descent, AND DISINTEGRATE VERTICALLY, TOGETHER WITH ALL THE REST OF WTC BUILDING"

+ "NO CLEAR AND UNQUESTIONABLE PROOF WAS YET FOUND FOR THE REAL CAUSE OF THAT RADICAL AND CRUCIAL "CHANGE"

=> A SERIOUS AND THOROUGH INVESTIGATION IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS, DISSIPATE ANY INCOMPREHENSIBLE PHENOMENON (f.ex. "Dust Clouds" cited by NIST) AND ANY CONTROVERSY ON WHAT PROVOCED WTC's VERTICAL, TOTAL COLLAPSE".

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:11 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 1

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:11:23 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number : xliii

Paragraph: Paragraph 6/Sentence 1:

"The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: core columns, floors, and perimeter columns."

Comment: Inaccurate.

Comment Reason: NIST admits, elsewhere, that for the situation of most Columns which were not directly hit by Debris (90 % of WTC's structure!) there is "No Information"...

Revision Suggestion: + INSERT: + "The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: THOSE core columns, floors, and perimeter columns WHICH WERE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY DEBRIS".

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:09 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 1

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:09:40 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number : xliii

Paragraph: Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:

"The output ... was subject to uncertainties in the as-built condition of the towers, the interior layout and furnishings, the aircraft impact, the internal damage to the towers (especially the thermal insulation for fire protection of the structural steel, which is colloquially referred to as fireproofing), the redistribution of the combustibles, and the response of the building structural components to the heat from the fires. ..."

Comment: Ommits to mention the main Cause of those "Uncertainties".

Comment Reason: It is important not to hide the Truth that the controversial Destruction of most Material Evidence (WTC Steel and debris: See supra) is one of the most grave obstacles to NIST's Investigation, causing many "Uncertainties".

Revision Suggestion: + ADDE: + BECAUSE OF THE EARLY DESTRUCTION OF MATERIAL EVIDENCE (MAINLY WYC's STEEL), "the output .. was subject to Uncertainties ..."

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 08:04 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 1

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:04:47 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net.

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address : all_the_truth@ftml.net.

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number : xlii

Paragraph: Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:

"The scarcity of physical evidence

that is typically available in place for reconstruction of a disaster ..."

Comment: It ommits to mention the important Fact that a strong Controversy opposed Victims' Families and Friends to ex-NYC Mayor Mr. Giuliani because of his decision to recycle almost all WTC's Steel, removing and destroying most of the available "Physical Evidence".

Comment Reason: (See supra).

Revision Suggestion: + ADDE: + THE CONTROVERSIAL REMOVAL OF ALMOST ALL OF WTC's STEEL, DESPITE PROTESTS FROM VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, PROVOKED A...

scarcity of physical evidence"

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 08:03:01 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends

Affiliation: "All The Truth" 9/11 Group (2001 - 2005)

Email Address: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number: xli

Paragraph: - Paragraph 1/Sentence 1: "E.1 GENESIS OF THIS INVESTIGATION On August 21, 2002, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) announced its building and fire safety investigation of the World Trade Center (WTC) disaster."

Comment: It ommits the True "Genesis" of WTC's Investigation: - WTC Investigation's "Genesis" stems from pressing demands of 9/11Victims' Families and Friends, as well as concerned Citizens and Experts, who strived since October 2001 up to August 2002 to ask for an Independent, Transparent, Efficient and Full Investigation on WTC collapse and those really responsible.

Sally Regenhard (since December 2001) and "All The Truth"'s members and Friends (since October 2001), as well as NY Firefighters, etc. (since November 2001), spearheaded the Popular demand for an WTC Investigation from the start.

Comment Reason: (See Supra).

Revision Suggestion: + ADDE: + AFTER ALLMOST A YEAR OF PRESSING DEMANDS FROM

9/11 VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, ... on August 21, 2002, ..(../..)

Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends, 07:59 AM 8/8/2005, WTC Draft Final Report Comment ... Page 1 of 1

X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.2

From: "Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends" <all_the_truth@ftml.net>

To: wtc@nist.gov Cc: dlowe@nist.gov

Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR 1

Date: Mon, 08 Aug 2005 07:59:02 -0400 Organization: NIST/BFRL Web Site

X-Mailer: SA-SMTPMail 1.0 (http://www.aspstudio.com)

X-MailScanner:

X-MailScanner-SpamScore: sss

X-MailScanner-From: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Information Submitted on: 8/8/2005.

Name: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends Affiliation: "All The Truth" (2001 - 2005) Email Address: all_the_truth@ftml.net

Phone:

Report Number: NCSTAR 1

Page Number: xxxiv

Paragraph: - Paragraph 2/Sentence 1: "Public Outreach

During the course of this Investigation, NIST held public briefings and meetings ...to solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments on the direction and progress of the Investigation from the public ..."

Comment: More Input from the Public is needed, until NIST's Enquiry fullfils its mission.


Comment Reason: WTC Investigation is far from completed yet (See infra).

Revision Suggestion: ADD: "... NIST held [+ AND WILL HOLD] public briefings and meetings ...to solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments ..;"

- 8. Knoll furniture symbols library www.knoll.com/furniture symb dnld.htm
- 9. Sunder, S.S. "World Trade Center Investigation Status" October 19, 2004, BFRL-NIST.
- 10. Sadek, F., Riley, M.A., Simiu, E., Fritz, W. and Lew, H.S. "Baseline Structural Performance and Aircraft Impact Damage Analysis" June 22, 2004.
- 11. Hamburger, R., et al. FEMA report "Chapter 2 WTC 1 and WTC 2" fema403_ch2.pdf
- 12. Sadek, F., Riley, M.A., Simiu, E., Fritz, W. and Lew, H.S. "Baseline Structural Performance and Aircraft Impact Damage Analysis" October 10, 2004, BFRL-NIST.
- 13. NIST Special publication 1000-4 "December 2003 public update on the Federal Building and Fire Safety investigation of the WTC Disaster" 12/20/2003, NIST.
- 14. McAllister, T., et al. FEMA WTC report "Chapter1-Introduction"


Appendices

A.1 Photo of typical workstation




A.2 Panel layout of NIST workstation

Workstation layout using the NIST 8'x8' (2.44 m x 2.44 m) printout:



A.3 Workstation symbol example



1. Credenza

2. Lateral file cabinets-two drawers




- 3. Supplemental work surface
- 4. Overhead storage



5. Privacy panel

6. Morrison desk



- 7. Computer
- 8. Rounded edge supplemental work surface
- 9. Visitor chair

10. Staff chair




A.4 Sample calculations


- 1. For load survey of panels, I determined that one sheet of paper weighs 0.01 lbs (0.0045 kg), therefore 0.25 lbs (0.11 kg) = 25 sheets of paper and 1 ream of paper = 500 sheets = 5 lbs (2.27 kg).
- Load survey of pantry was done by estimation of the ENFP department pantry. The area
 of contents in the room considered were the storage racks, three file cabinet drawers,
 microwave, refrigerator, and few boxes of stored party supplies.
- 3. Load survey for the desk tops was done by weighing contents of one desk then observing other desks in the engineering building, physician offices and the desk of an MRI technician. Work desk was apportioned three pounds of combustible material in the form of paper weight. The supplemental desk was estimated to have six pounds of additional paper weight on desk surface.
- 4. File cabinet weight for the credenza was based on the following horizontal file weights from the ENFP department: the first file drawer contained 294 files, weighed 37 lbs (16.8 kg), and measured 18.75 inches (0.48 m) long. The second file cabinet contained 138 files and was 16 inches (0.41 m) long. Therefore, the credenza file drawer which holds horizontal files is about 23.43 lbs (10.63 kg) which was then rounded to 24 lbs (10.9 kg) of paper weight contained in the credenza to account for note pads, other files and additional combustible office supplies. My findings are consistent with the check done by Dr.Quintiere in Appendix A.5.
- 5. Storage file cabinet drawer in ENFP photocopy room held 10 reams of paper at about 2.5" (0.064 m) thick and five pounds per ream. One drawer held ~ 50 lbs (22.68 kg) of photocopy paper.

A.5 Dr. Quintiere check of file cabinet content and comparison

Horizontal File Cabinet:



Lateral File Cabinet:



Legal paper $\sim 50(3/2)=75$ lbs (34.02 kg) Standard paper ~ 50 lbs (22.68 kg) Drawer capacity = 150 lbs (68.04 kg)

30 inches = 0.762 m

18 inches = 0.457 m

12 inches = 0.305 m

6 inches = 0.15 m

A.7 NIST Presentation Explanatory Page for the Excel Document 'NIST prsntn'

The following information is meant to clearly explain the Excel documents used to analyze the combustible load on the 96^{th} floor of the WTC North tower.

Table 1. For Excel sheet 'item wts."

Column	Description of how the value was obtained and/or what it means/relevance
designation	
Al	For ease of identification when printed I inserted column and row details.
A2	Row and column designations for ease of reference.
B2	Heading to show that the following data is calculated from information obtained by
	Quintiere & Stewart of UMCP.
C2	WTC1 96 th floor ('item wts.') to let reader know which printed sheet they are
	viewing.
B4	Classification refers to the description of where the furniture item may typically
	found on the 96 th floor.
C4	Item type refers to the physical description of an individual item found on the 96 th
	floor as obtained from Gensler Architectural plans and Appendix J of the NIST
	preliminary WTC report.
D2-D4	Stock identification numbers were obtained from comparing the Gensler
×	Architectural plans to the AutoCAD symbol library obtained from www.Knoll.com
E3-E4	Weight of furniture per item refers to the weight of one piece of furniture as it is,
	complete and unpacked. These weights were obtained from a Knoll Sales person.
E5	Weight of furniture in pounds (lbs)
F5	Weight of furniture in kilograms (kgs)
G3-G4	Number of items refers to the number of items found on the 96 th floor of WTC 1.
	This information was obtained from Gensler Architectural plans.
H2-H4	The weight of combustible material for empty furniture item refers to the amount
	of material for a single, unused piece of furniture (obtained from Knoll sales
	person who was referring to either a binder or computer when we spoke but did not

In To: wtc@nist.gov From: all_the_truth@ftml.net (by way of Inquiries <inquiry@nist.gov>) Subject: NIST's 2005 WTC Enquiry: LACK OF ANSWERS' MYSTERY DEEPENS! NIST's 2005 WTC Enquiry: LACK OF ANSWERS' MYSTERY DEEPENS! "AllTheTruth"'s reply to NIST's call for Public's input on WTC Inquiry: - NIST Enquiry confirms AllTheTruth's Questions, but deepens 9/11 Mystery by an astonishing lack of Answers! => Criminal Responsibilities for WTC Collapse, destruction of Evidence, and trapped Victims? "All The Truth"'s NewsLetter By: Blas Mirco and Maltes' Friends 4 to 5 August 2005/-Email at : all_the_truth@ftml.net. www.freewebs.com/allthetruth + (Currently under Attack by strange Hackers, who destroyed 5 Years of publications: 2001 - 2005, precisely this week of NIST's WTC Enquiry's Time Deadline! See infra.) A) ON THE SUBSTANCE OF NIST'S DRAFT REPORT B) On TIME DEADLINES and BRUTAL HARASSMENTS/OBSTRUCTIONS _____ - (A) ON THE SUBSTANCE OF NIST'S DRAFT REPORT

* NIST can -and must - do like NASA: Rectify Errors before landing!

>>> NASA proves, these Hours, that ERRORS CAN and must BE RECTIFIED, Damages Repaired, gaps can be filed, even during a Space-Flight of only a few Days!

=> Why Not NIST, during a WTC Enquiry of many Years?

The Draft Reports of NIST's Enquiry on WTC confirm the crucial

importance of certain critical Questions raised by "AllTheTruth".

But NIST's findings, and their limits, deepen, also, the Mystery of lack of Answers! Which becomes astonishing, even after Years of technical Enquiry, and millions of Federal Funds...

Various Converging Indications raise the question even of Criminal Responsibilities eventually involved.

All this highlights the regrettably Narrow limits inside which NIST's Technical Enquiry is closed, to the detriment of the vital need to find all the Truth on 9/11 Barbarities. (See :"AllTheTruth" :" NIST on WTC : 9/11 "Out of Scope" ? ", in : http://www.freewebs.com/allthetruth/nist911outofscope.htm).

To put it in a nutshell:

- NIST's detailed tests and arguments confirmed that neither Airplanes' Impact, NOT EVEN FIRES, aren't the cause of WTC's collapse: Buildings were able to withstand such strains.

But dislodging of Fireproof protection and/or other factors led to the drama.

- However, NIST appears unable to give a full and convincing explanation about what really caused that.

It leaves, also, without any convincing explanation, the crucial question why WTC's top, after leaning, at first, and "tilting" aside,

suddenly changed direction and, instead of toppling over, leaving the rest of the building intact,

on the contrary "changed" its movement "downwards", to a "vertical, total collapse", too similar to "controlled demolitions", (as AllTheTruth observed from the start).

+ Moreover, NIST indicates that Fireproof dislodging might, eventually, have "Malicious" causes.

It's also obvious that the scandalous "disappearance" and loss of WTC's Steel (vainly denounced since 2001 by Sally Regenhard and "AllTheTruth"), leaving less than 1%, stands behind many "lacks of Information" denounced by NIST, (including on Fireproofing, WTC Columns, Fire Alarms, etc.).

This is added to the confirmation that most People killed were trapped inside WTC by the absence of Helicopter Rescue and

blocked doors towards Towers' Roof, as it had been decided by NYPD, which was, then, directed by ex-Mayor Giuliani's pal, Mr. Kerik.

His department was also involved in the strange, but critical dysfunction of Radio-transmitters, which hindered Rescuers a.o. from knowing on Time what was going on.

And in the Violent "clashes", imprisonment and threats against heroic NY Fire-Fighters and Victims' Families' access to WTC's Ground Zero: Suddenly blocked on October 2001, after "AllTheTruth" launched a call to search for clues on WTC's strange, vertical collapse, too similar to "controlled demolitions", by examining the debris.

Today, after Mr. Kerik's personal links even to Steel recycling' "Mafia" at New Jersey were revealed in 2004, the Question becomes inevitable:

- Both in his and others' cases: Are there any Criminal Responsibilities involved in WTC's strange, vertical and excessively deadly collapse?

In Particular:

- The most solid, well-documented NIST's finding is that Fires were not the real cause of WTC's collapse, nor Airplanes' impact

- "Fires did not cause Collapse": WTC "Towers did not collapse under Aircraft Impact and Fires" alone, repeatedly stresses NIST.

This conclusion seems proved by a long series of Tests, Documentary evidence, Calculations, Logic Arguments, etc.

It confirms "AllTheTruth"'s criticism of ASCE's preliminary draft, back in 2002, which had pushed mainstream Media, like New York Times, AP, etc. to erroneously claim that it was simply the strength of the Fires which bended WTC's steel structure, causing its total destruction.

- According to NIST, it should be a "widely dislodged Fireproofing", and/or other "Structural damage", which caused WTC's collapse.

But NIST is unable to find enough proof on the real situation of WTC's Fireproofing, or even of its Columns...

- Curiously, NIST claims that, despite the huge and

controversial disappearance of WTC's Steel, nevertheless, the remaining pieces would provide "sufficient" evidence.

However, this is blatantly contradicted by the Fact that, as it acknowledges, only .. less than 1% of WTC's steel remains : Only 0,25 %!

Moreover, NIST denounces, elsewhere, that there is few or "No Information" available on WTC's exterior and mainly "core Columns", Floors' Trusses, etc., mainly on the crucial question of the level of Fireproofing and thermal Insulation damage, among many other Evidence which lacks: Left without Material proof, it is obliged to "guess" and make "assumptions" on WTC's Steel structure, only by ... "Photos and Videos"!

NIST also observes that WTC's "Fire Alarms", which, normally, could "collect Valuable Information" for "Fires in a Building", were "not used" during 9/11, and, even today, have "No Information" to provide.

Despite the importance of Water Sprinklers and other Fire protection systems which appeared damaged, NIST doesn't even raise any question on what might have happened, a few days before 9/11, during intrusions in WTC's Fire protection mechanisms by shady individuals reportedly involved in an ID-card Fraud and a Murder of witness in Memphis.

NIST notes, nevertheless, that a crucial dislodging of Fireproofing might have been done "Maliciously".

But, once again, it doesn't even ask to extend Enquiries to eventual Criminal interferences in WTC.

+ On the main point: WTC's Collapse, NIST observes that, at first, the Towers' "Upper Section" had started to "Tilt" "towards the Side", and "Rotate" at its Top Part, ready to fall aside and leave the greater part of the building standing.

But, afterwards, suddenly, something happened, and it "changed direction", after strange (and unexplained) "Dust puffs" and a "Dust Cloud" covered the scene: Instead, WTC's upper section, subsequently, "fell Downwards", "Vertically", followed by a "Total, Global Collapse" of all WTC in its entirety, from Top to the Basis.

=> Precisely, this is the most Important Fact on which "AllTheTruth"s critical questions on WTC have focused since 2001

It seems natural that the smaller, Top part, hit by Airplanes, would

have fallen aside, leaving the longer part of WTC intact, to remain standing, and save many Human Lives.

But, on the contrary, here, an exceptional, never seen before, Vertical and Total Collapse occurred, for unknown reasons, concentrating all WTC's debris at its feet, almost as it occurs in the images of "Controlled Demolitions" (See "AllTheTruth"'s abundant publications and Documentation on that point).

Astonishingly, NIST has NOTHING serious to say in order to explain this paradox :

In 10.000 pages, it adds only one (1!) short sentence, claiming (WITHOUT ANY PROOF) that "the fall of the (smaller) upper Section above the impact", "exceeded the energy which could be withstand by WTC's Structure in its (much larger) remaining portion". Thus, it's simply .. "Gravity" which would have provoked WTC's "Global Collapse"... Without any Fact, Nor any Argument, or other Proof, at all: Only an unbelievably Short and Empty Claim!

Despite the fact that this controversial claim on WTC's collapse has been largely discussed, analyzed and refuted earlier, including by our own counter-arguments,

nevertheless, NIST behaves as if it hadn't" ever heard anything, and/or didn't have anything to reply ...

=> It says NOTHING at all on the Controversy. Not even a word!

WTC's "vertical", total collapse, too similar to "controlled demolitions", and, plus particularly, the hollow claim about .. "Gravity", has been strongly criticized and refuted, with many arguments and facts, already Years ago :

F. ex. in "AllTheTruth"'s March 2002 Publication, titled: - "NEWTON DID IT, NOT ME!", about Dr Astaneh's claim and "US Congress 1st Hearing on 9/11-WTC" (See:

http://www.freewebz.com/allthetruth/newtondiditnotmeafterusc.htm).

Even if 911 Victims' Families and Friends, Experts, Officials, NGOs, involved Citizens, etc., (even ... U.S. Congress!) have already largely heard and debated about this Controversy about WTC's "vertical, total collapse" and the pseudo - "Gravity" claim,

nevertheless, NIST, after 3 Years of "Enquiry", astonishingly, makes as if it didn't know nothing about all that...

Despite admitting "substantial Uncertainties" on WTC's "Probable" collapse "sequence", which is condemned to remain highly hypothetical, and not convincing at all...

- + Another Important point, concerns WTC's Emergency EVACUATION operations :
- NIST's Data confirm that greatest part among the People who were killed, had been "trapped" in WTC's upper floors, over Aircraft's impact.
- => Most of them died because there was no possibility for Helicopter Rescue from the Top Floor, NIST observes.

This was "one of the few Options available" to those People, NIST rightfully notes.

Moreover, "1968 NYC Code required Access to Roofs", Reports denounce.

- But, Roof Helicopter Evacuation was rejected by NYPD on 9/11, (directed then by ex-Mayor Giuliani's pal, Mr. Kerik), and People were shut off WTC's Top, since Doors had been "Blocked" by a so-called (in)"Security" service, which "trapped" them until an atrocious death...

The Deadly Scandal appears even bigger, since NIST notes that WTC inhabitants had NOT even been WARNED that Doors towards the Top had been "blocked", so that 9/11 Victims would tragically try to go upstairs, provoking even more atrocious deaths..

However, when it comes, precisely, to the Controversy about whether Helicopter Rescue from the Top, could and should, or not, have been carried out, things become surprisingly Nasty:

- NIST simply observes that NYPD (i.e. Mr. Kerik) had "refused" Helicopter Evacuation, and that NY-New Jersey Port Authority had "blocked Doors", without even warning People..

On this crucial, Life or Death, point, NIST only notes that, according to NYPD, an Helicopter had tried to land on WTC's roof at the beginning of Aircraft's Fires, but abandoned any other attempt after facing Heat and Smoke, because of a blatant Refusal and Interdiction ordered by NYPD (that is to say Mr. Kerik, then).

"Helicopter Rescue" from WTC's Top Floors "was NEVER CONSIDERED" by NYPD and NYNJPA, NIST reveals.

- WHY? - Because someone found "too much Smoke to land", at an "early stage", at the beginning of Aircraft's initial Fire-balls, and because, "only a few" might have been rescued, in such conditions, NIST claims.

But it says Nothing about the period AFTER Aircraft's initial Fires had diminished and even ceased, which was much longer...

And, NIST never explains why "only a few" might have been rescued from the Top of the flat-roofed WTC Towers, and not many: Astonishingly, NIST limits itself only into a 1 sentence Claim, without Proofs, nor arguments, Not any Debate, nothing to convince...

Moreover, Helicopter Rescue from the Building's Top WINDOWS, technically possible, is NOT EVEN CITED, while People were notoriously abandoned and obliged to jump out of WTC's Windows to atrocious Deaths!

At least Technicians might have been able to penetrate at WTC's Roof and repair the Building's Water Supply system for Fire-Sprinklers, which had been reportedly dislodged after Aircrafts' impacts, according to NIST.

But any kind of use of WTC's Flat roofed Tops by Helicopters, had been scandalously "EXCLUDED by NYPD", (that is to say ex-NY Mayor Giuliani's partner, Mr. Kerik).

=> Given the crucial Importance of the matter (most Deaths resulting from People "trapped" by the blockade of WTC's top), NIST should, at least, Seriously Examine all the existing Possibilities, and make a full Enquiry on whether Helicopter Rescue could and should have been used to save Human Lives.

On the contrary, NIST's Drafts astonishingly are Empty on this important point, limited only into copying a NYPD's Controversial claim, and a superficial sentence, without any real proof....

=> NIST Enquiry's Scope was already too narrow:

Nothing about Airplanes' "Hijacking"; Nor about Political and other stakes for choosing 11 September's Date, among 365 possible per Year; Nothing on WTC's New York context: Old WTC buildings, out-passed by New Skyscrapers prepared f.ex. at Times Square, where ex-NY Mayor Giuliani chose for his office; NY-NJ Port Authority's wish to build a New, Bigger "Transport Hub" at WTC's area (as it was proved true these Days); etc.

=> It should not become even more restricted, this time by what appears to be at least, among some of NIST's technicians, an astonishing narrow-mindness (See above) ...

People should not be flooded by 10.000 pages of Technical Data, only to find out that, after Years of an Enquiry using millions of Federal Funds, many main, crucial Questions of substance have been hastily and superficially abandoned without any real, serious reply..

NIST should raise and pursue all important issues: Including Criminal and other Responsibilities of some for Hiding or Destroying Material Evidence (f.ex. WTC's Steel, Fire Alarms, etc).

And it's not possible to stress the crucial importance of "dislodged Fireproofing", while remaining, at the same time, Indifferent on Facts indicating that sly Individuals, involved in ID cards Fraud and Murder of eye-Witness, had access to WTC's core, a few days before 9/11, including on Sprinklers, etc.

=> Some well-placed and efficient Enquiries could become "Ariadne's threads" towards unmasking those who are really responsible for 9/11 deadly Barbary...

- (B) On TIME DEADLINES and BRUTAL HARASSMENTS/OBSTRUCTIONS:

[Brutalities and Harassment delay AllTheTruth's reply => NIST's WTC Enquiry kept in the Dark?

=> No Bureaucratic Pretexts to Obstruct Critical Observations on so Serious issues as 9/11!

Surprisingly, AllTheTruth was brutally hindered to present its observations according to NIST's invitation, until 4 August 5.pm. Deadline, and was obliged to do so shortly afterwards.

Some of its main, leading and long-standing members suddenly faced scandalous and unprecedented Brutal or sly Obstruction and/or Harassment, delaying and canceling crucial meetings scheduled to prepare "AllTheTruth"'s reply to NIST's call during the previous week-end.

Even "All The Truth"'s long-standing Website (2001 - 2005) was suddenly aggressed, without any warning, and its Historic content (full of useful Data for NIST's WTC Enquiry was destroyed these days...

Thus, the only possibility was to present, the week-end of NIST's Deadline, at least a brief, incomplete, but substantial critical Note on AllTheTruth's main observations.

AllTheTruth's members were First to call for an Investigation on WTC strange, vertical collapse, (too similar to "controlled demolitions"), and struggled since October 2001, together with Victims' Families and Friends, (mainly Sally Regenhard, NY Firefighters, Experts, and others), to push until the need for a serious Enquiry was acknowledged by U.S. Authorities. This struggle, accompanied by WTC-focused critical observations of rare depth continued for Many Years, 2004 included.

=> Therefore, No Formal, Bureaucratic Pretexts could be convincing

as a hollow attempt to Exclude and Ignore "AllTheTruth"s Critical Observations, just for a few Hours/Day, in such a crucial Enquiry spreading along Many YEARS:

Considering also the Importance of the Human, Social and Political Issues at stake, this would be tantamount to excessive formalism and Scandalous Abuse. Everybody would assume its Responsibilities in front of People and History.

A fortiori, when those who brutally and/or slyly obstructed and harassed AllTheTruth's members, in an obvious attempt to destroy Time just at the eve of NIST's deadline,

are astonishingly close (geographically and socio-politically) to certain Circles which have been, since long, suspected and denounced by "AllTheTruth" as probably involved in a trans-national sly Criminal Network which may hide behind 9/11 Barbarities.

=> Who would dare become Accomplice of Brutal and/or sly Aggressors, only in order to skip Critical Observations from People who asked and struggled among the first, since 2001, for a WTC Enquiry?

NIST's WTC Enquiry should be kept "Clean", crystal-clear, and beyond any suspicion, without being Stained by Negative Incidents.

As NIST's new Director, Hratch Semerjian, stressed: -"We need to hear from the public": Until then, "Our job is not done".
"We will finalize our reports ..after receiving public comments.".

(NDLR: This has Nothing to do with U.S. President GWBush, contrary to what some Manipulative Provocations claimed elsewhere: On the contrary, some of "AllTheTruth"s long-standing members, after careful analysis, have actively supported his reElection in 2004 for a fresh, New mandate.

Moreover, AllTheTruth has published the view of most of its members that 911 and a series of other incidents may form a series of attempts to push U.S. President GWBush into a "sly trap", in order to exploit it politically afterwards, for reasons extending to issues of paramount importance for all Humankind).]

(2) COMMENTS in NIST's Format

(Preliminary, Incomplete, hasty observations to NIST Draft, given the absence of Time.

NB => See ATTACHED LETTER for Urgent, recent Events,

In
overall view and more concrete details).
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number:
- Paragraph /Sentence :
- Comment:
- Reason for Comment:
- Suggestion for Revision:
=======================================
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number: xxxiv
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 1: "Public Outreach During the course of this Investigation, NIST held public briefings and meetingsto solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments on the direction and progress of the Investigation from the public"
- Comment: More Input from the Public is needed, until NIST's Enquiry fullfils its mission.
- Reason for Comment: WTC Investigation is far from completed yet (See infra).
- Suggestion for Revision: ADD: " NIST held [+ AND WILL HOLD] public briefings and meetingsto solicit input from the public, present preliminary findings, and obtain comments;" ===================================
NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)
- Page Number: xli

- Paragraph 1/Sentence 1: "E.1 GENESIS OF THIS INVESTIGATION On August 21, 2002, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) announced its building and fire safety investigation of the World Trade Center (WTC) disaster."

- Comment:

It ommits the True "Genesis" of WTC's Investigation: - WTC Investigation's "Genesis" stems from pressing demands of 9/11Victims' Families and Friends, as well as concerned Citizens and Experts, who strived since October 2001 up to August 2002 to ask for an Independent, Transparent, Efficient and Full Investigation on WTC collapse and those really responsible.

Sally Regenhard (since December 2001) and "All The Truth"'s members and Friends (since October 2001), as well as NY Firefighters, etc. (since November 2001), spearheaded the Popular demand for an WTC Investigation from the start.

- Reason for Comment: (See Supra).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE : + AFTER ALLMOST A YEAR OF PRESSING DEMANDS FROM 9/11 VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, ..."on August 21, 2002, ..(../..)"

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xlii
- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1: "The scarcity of physical evidence

that is typically available in place for reconstruction of a disaster

- Comment:

It ommits to mention the important Fact that a strong Controversy opposed Victims' Families and Friends to ex-NYC Mayor Mr. Giuliani because of his decision to recycle almost all WTC's Steel, removing and destroying most of the available "Physical Evidence".

- Reason for Comment: (See supra).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + THE CONTROVERSIAL REMOVAL OF ALMOST ALL OF WTC's STEEL, DESPITE PROTESTS FROM VICTIMS' FAMILIES AND FRIENDS, PROVOKED A...

scarcity of physical evidence"

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliii
- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:
- "The output ... was subject to uncertainties in the as-built condition of the towers, the interior layout and furnishings, the aircraft impact, the internal damage to the towers (especially the thermal insulation for fire protection of the structural steel, which is colloquially referred to as fireproofing), the redistribution of the combustibles, and the response of the building structural components to the heat from the fires. ..."
- Comment:

Ommits to mention the main Cause of those "Uncertainties".

- Reason for Comment:

It is important not to hide the Truth that the controversial Destruction of most Material Evidence (WTC Steel and debris: See supra) is one of the most grave obstacles to NIST's Investigation, causing many "Uncertainties".

- Suggestion for Revision:

+ ADDE: + BECAUSE OF THE EARLY DESTRUCTION OF MATERIAL EVIDENCE (MAINLY WTC's STEEL), "the output .. was subject to Uncertainties ..."

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliii
- Paragraph 6/Sentence 1:

"The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: core columns, floors, and perimeter columns."

- Comment: Inaccurate.
- Reason for Comment:

NIST admits, elsewhere, that for the situation of most Columns which were not directly hit by Debris (90 % of WTC's structure!) there is "No Information"...

- Suggestion for Revision:

+ INSERT: + "The two aircraft hit the towers at high speed and did considerable damage to principal structural components: THOSE core columns, floors, and perimeter columns WHICH WERE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY DEBRIS".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliii

- Paragraph 7/Sentences 1 + 2:

"In WTC 1, the fires weakened the core columns and caused the floors on the south side of the building to sag.

.. The top section of the building tilted to the south and began its descent."

- Comment:

Grossly Inaccurate (See also infra).

- Reason for Comment:
- (a) Proof for "weakening" by the "fires" was found by NIST only on Columns hit by Debris, while it had "No Information" on any other Columns (90% of WTC's building remaining standing, beneath).
- (b) The "Top .. tilted" aside, at first. But something happened, afterwards, and it "changed" its direction and appearance, replacing it wifh a "Vertical, Total Collapse" of all WTC, (instead of toppling over and falling to one side.(See + infra)

There are 2 different, and opposite movements, and not only one.

- => Simply claim that it was .. "Gravity", which destroyed 90% of WTC "robust" buildings, which remained intact, is obviously not enough, nor convincing particularly after a long Controversy in many and various Published texts and Debates 2001 2004. (See attached Letter for more concrete Details).
- Suggestion for Revision:
- + INSERT : "The top section of the building INITIALLY tilted to the south.

BUT AFTERWARDS, INSTEAD OF FALLING ASIDE, AND LEAVING THE REST OF THE BUILDING INTACT,

ON THE CONTRARY, IT SUDDENLY began TO descent, AND DISINTEGRATE VERTICALLY, TOGETHER WITH ALL THE REST OF WTC BUILDING"

+ "NO CLEAR AND UNQUESTIONABLE PROOF WAS YET FOUND FOR THE REAL CAUSE OF THAT RADICAL AND CRUCIAL "CHANGE"

=> A SERIOUS AND THOROUGH INVESTIGATION IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS, DISSIPATE ANY INCOMPREHENSIBLE PHENOMENON (f.ex. "Dust Clouds" cited by NIST) AND ANY CONTROVERSY ON WHAT PROVOCED WTC's VERTICAL, TOTAL COLLAPSE".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv

- Paragraph 3/Sentence 1:

"The WTC towers likely would not have collapsed under the combined effects of aircraft impact damage and the extensive, multifloor fires if the thermal insulation had not been widely dislodged or had been only minimally dislodged by aircraft impact."

- Comment:

Interesting NIST Finding, but its Cause remains largely Unknown : => A full Investigation is required. (See attached Letter).

- Reason for Comment:

For such a Crucial Fact, its Cause must be clearly established.

Suggestion for Revision:

+ ADDE, IN FINE: "....+ AN INVESTIGATION ON WHAT CAUSED SUCH A WIDE DISLODGING OF THERMAL INSULATION MUST BE SERIOUSLY AND THOROUGHLY CONDUCTED UNTIL ALL MAIN FACTS ARE KNOWN".

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph 1 /Sentence 5 :"• In WTC 2, ...The top section of

the building tilted to the east and to the south

and began its descent."

- Comment: (Comp. above).
- Reason for Comment: (Comp. above).
- Suggestion for Revision: (Comp. above).

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xliv
- Paragraph 2/Sentence 2:
- "... In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors .."
- + ADDE NEW

- Comment:

Astonishingly, nothing is said about more than a Thousand People notoriously "trapped" at the upper floors by the Controversial Blocked Doors and mainly the absence of Helicopter Rescue, (despite the access requested even by NYC 1968 Code, but refused by NYPD - Mr. Kerik).

This is a very grave Ommission, risking to compromise NIST's objectivity in the eyes of the Public.

When even the minor issue of a few "disabled" people takes a whole paragraph, there is no excuse to totally ommit the long-standing Controversy on Helicopter Rescue at Flat-roofed high-rise buildings, which affected more than a Thousand People at WTC...

- Reason for Comment:

NB: See attached Letter for many concrete details.

- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE: + "In WTC 1, ...1,355 people were trapped in the upper floors ... BY DOORS WHICH HAD BEEN BLOCKED WITHOUT WARNING, AND BY A CONTROVERSIAL ABSENCE OF ANY HELICOPTER RESCUE FROM THE TOP AND/OR FROM

THE WINDOWS".

(+ Skip the MISLEADING sentence "where the aircraft destroyed all escape routes": It was NYPD's chief who refused any Helicopter Rescue and had blocked the Doors towards WTC's Top.

NIST's Reports don't have any serious Evidence, nor solid, unquestionable Proof, that Helicopter Rescue would be really "impossible", as he claimed. (See attached Letter)

NIST NCSTAR 1 (Draft)

- Page Number: xlvii
- Paragraph 9 /Sentence 1 :
- "• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ..."

- Comment:

Helicopter Rescue technics, from the Top Floors and Upper Windows, must be studied and developed for High-Rise buildings and Skyscrapers.

- Reason for Comment:

WTC proved that Thousands of Human Lives at High Rise Buildings often depend on the existence or absence of Helicopter Rescue technics.

- Suggestion for Revision:
- + ADDE, IN FINE: ""• Improved Building Evacuation: Building evacuation should be improved to include system designs that facilitate safe and rapid egress, ...INCLUDING POSSIBILITIES FOR ANY HELLICOPTER RESCUE FOR HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS AND SKYSCRAPERS... "

NB:

- These observations, naturally should be repeated whenever NIST's Draft repeats similar issues (there are many such repetitions).
- + Many other observations = Ommitted, because of Time restraints.

Email at : all_the_truth@ftml.net. www.freewebs.com/allthetruth +

(Currently under Attack by strange Hackers, who destroyed 5 Years of publications: 2001 - 2005, precisely this week of NIST's WTC Enquiry!

Frequently updated, according to main developments and investigations' needs and results.

Investigative Website dedicated to help all those who search to find the whole truth on 9/11 Barbarities and other outstanding crises around

the World.

Founded by a group of people who initiated Public Debates on 9/11 (and NY FF's) concluding (since end October 2001) into an "Urgent call for an independent, pluralist, transparent and full Enquiry (starting by WTC's strange, deadly implosions) before Material and Witnesses' Evidence is destroyed".

From the beginning and for Years, "AllTheTruth" has been striving (in a desinterested, benevolent, active and serious way, facing various aggressions and sacrificing even health of some of its members) to help unveil all the truth and shred full light on all 9/11Barbarities, despite surprising strange obstacles.

Supports from the start (mid-December 2001) Sally Regenhard's Call for an "Independent Blue Ribbon Panel Enquiry on Why (and How) WTC collapsed", as well as (27 Feb. 2002) the call of "Families of September 11" to "extend" USC-checked "independent Investigation" on the way 4 Airplanes were led and let to be hijacked and crashed.]

http://www.fastmail.fm - Access all of your messages and folders wherever you are